

Induced radiation processes in single-bubble sonoluminescence

Fedor V.Prigara

Institute of Microelectronics and Informatics, Russian Academy of Sciences,

*21 Universitetskaya, Yaroslavl 150007, Russia**

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

Abstract

According to the recent revision of the theory of thermal radiation, thermal black-body radiation has an induced origin. We show that in single-bubble sonoluminescence thermal radiation is emitted by a spherical resonator, coincident with the sonoluminescing bubble itself, instead of the ensemble of elementary resonators emitting thermal black-body radiation in the case of open gaseous media. For a given wavelength, the diameter of the resonator is fixed, and this explains the very high constancy in phase of light flashes from the sonoluminescing bubble, which is better than the constancy of period of a driving acoustic wave.

PACS numbers: 78.60.Mq, 42.65.Re, 47.40.-x

Sonoluminescence is the emission of light flashes in continuum from an oscillating micron-size bubble at a pressure anti-node of a sound wave in a liquid [1-4]. Sonoluminescence has been discovered as early as in 1930s and remained so far an enigmatic phenomenon. Recent computation of the spectrum intensities from an optically thin model of emitting gas in a sonoluminescing bubble [5] have shown the great discrepancies between the calculated values and experimental results for a single-bubble sonoluminescence. It was shown, however, recently [6, 7] that thermal black-body radiation has an induced origin and the emitting gas is automatically thick with respect to the emission process. Here we show that the account for stimulated origin of thermal radiation is required to explain the observed properties of single-bubble sonoluminescence.

The induced origin of thermal radio emission follows from the relations between Einstein's coefficients for a spontaneous and induced emission of radiation [6]. The strong argument in a favor of an induced origin of thermal black-body radiation is that the spectral energy density in the whole range of spectrum is described by a single Planck's function. So if thermal radio emission is stimulated, then thermal radiation in other spectral regions also should have the induced character.

According to this conception, thermal emission from non-uniform gas is produced by an ensemble of individual emitters. Each of these emitters is an elementary resonator the size of which has an order of magnitude of mean free path , l , of photons,

$$l = 1 / (n\sigma) , \quad (1)$$

where n is the number density of particles and σ is the absorption cross-section. The emission of each elementary resonator is coherent, with the wavelength

$$\lambda = al, \quad (2)$$

where a is a dimensionless constant, and thermal emission of gaseous layer is incoherent sum of radiation produced by individual emitters. An elementary resonator emits in the direction opposite to the direction of the density gradient. The wall of the resonator corresponding to the lower density is half-transparent due to the decrease of absorption with the decreasing gas density.

In the case of single-bubble sonoluminescence, an elementary emitter of thermal black-

body radiation is a spherical resonator, coincident with the sonoluminescing bubble itself, instead of the ensemble of elementary resonators characteristic for open non-uniform gaseous media. For a spherical electromagnetic wave in the resonator, the electric field strength in the wave is given by the formula

$$E = A \sin(kr) / r, \quad (3)$$

where A is a constant, $k = 2\pi n_r / \lambda$ is the wave number, λ is the wavelength in vacuum, n_r is the refraction index, and r is the radial coordinate. At the surface of the spherical resonator, we have a node, so

$$R = \lambda / (2n_r), \quad (4)$$

where R is the radius of the bubble.

It is clear from the last equation that, for a given wavelength λ , the radius of the bubble at which the radiation with the wavelength λ is emitted is fixed. This explains the very high constancy in phase of sonoluminescence flashes with respect to the period of driving sound wave, exceeding the constancy of period of the acoustic wave itself [2-4].

The measured emission duration [8] seems to correspond to narrow spectral bands and is determined in fact by the bandwidth. The full duration of the sonoluminescence flash in continuum is given by the formula

$$\tau = \Delta\lambda / (2n_r v), \quad (5)$$

where $\Delta\lambda = \lambda_{max} - \lambda_{min}$ is the difference between the maximum and minimum values of the wavelength respectively, and v is the velocity of the implosion shock wave at the time of light emission.

The initial velocity of the bubble imploding is equal to the velocity of sound in the liquid. During the implosion process, the velocity of the implosion shock wave increases [2]. The kinetic energy of particles in the shock wave is

$$\varepsilon = mv^2/2, \quad (6)$$

where m is the mass of a molecule, and can achieve the value of about $1eV$ at the time of light emission. This kinetic energy converts into the thermal energy of a gas in the bubble

by generation of plasma waves at sufficient small radii of the bubble when the density and ionization degree of the gas in the bubble are sufficiently large.

The addition of small amounts of noble gases, such as helium, argon, or xenon, to the gas in the sonoluminescing bubble increases the intensity of the emitted light dramatically [9]. This effect seems to be analogous to the argon catalysis of the excessive Balmer line broadening in gas discharges [10]. Since the emission is produced by a single resonator, it can be amplified by a laser mechanism. The argon doping seems to play an important role in the creation of the inversion of the energy level population which is required for such an amplification.

-
- [1] J.Maddox, *Nature* **361**, 397 (1993).
 - [2] B.P.Barber and S.J.Putterman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 3839 (1992).
 - [3] R.Hiller, S.J.Putterman, and B.P.Barber, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 1182 (1992).
 - [4] B.P.Barber, S.J.Putterman, *Nature* **352**, 318 (1992).
 - [5] L.Yuan, *Phys. Rev.* **E 72**, 046309 (2005).
 - [6] F.V.Prigara, E-print archives, astro-ph/0110483.
 - [7] F.V.Prigara, E-print archives, quant-ph/0501103.
 - [8] M.J.Moran, R.E.Haigh, M.E.Lowry et al., *Nucl. Instr. Meth.* **B 96**, 651 (1995).
 - [9] R.Hiller, K.Weninger, S.J.Putterman, B.P.Barber, *Science* **266**, 248 (1994).
 - [10] M.Kuraica and N.Konjevic, *Phys. Rev.* **A 46**, 4429 (1992).

* Electronic address: fprigara@imras.yar.ru